Among other topics, they concentrated on the current climate at university campuses regarding Jewish students, asserting that these campuses have become dangerous for them. The accounts also highlighted the crimes committed by Hamas on October 7, with a particular focus on sexual assaults, and published content critical of UNRWA.

The content included links to three fictitious online platforms: Non-Agenda, The Moral Alliance, and Unfold Magazine. A significant portion of the pro-Israel content on these websites was sourced from international news sites, although some of it was original.

In recent years, FakeReporter has exposed several foreign influence networks originating from various countries, including Iran and Russia. These networks aimed to harm Israel by deepening social polarization and demoralizing the public. However, this is the first instance in which FakeReporter has encountered such an extensive and complex network dedicated to promoting pro-Israel messages abroad. Unlike the influence networks operating within Israel, which target the general public, the current network seems focused on influencing legislators. Its strategy involves creating the perception of widespread American support for Israel and its actions since October 7th.
In early February 2024, international disinformation researcher Mark Owen Jones unveiled a new foreign influence network primarily active on X, Facebook, Instagram, and Threads. This network utilized hundreds of "sock puppets" to disseminate Israeli propaganda, frequently using fake websites to reinforce their message.

Jones discovered that the network employed stolen profile pictures and that the majority of the accounts were created on the same date. He also exposed the network’s publishing of anti-UNRWA messages. Later in the same month, Jones reported a connection between one of the network’s accounts and pro-Israel and anti-Muslim accounts on X. The attention drawn by X users to this publication prompted an in-depth investigation into the network.
Methodology

Research Goals
- Characterizing the network.
- Analysis of network’s assets and content.
- Identifying the network’s target audience.
- Analyzing the network’s messaging.

Research Method
- Quantitative analysis of fake accounts.
- Quantitative analysis of digital assets.
- Qualitative analysis of the network’s discourse.

Research Period
1/11/2023 - 6/3/2024

Platforms
- X (formerly Twitter).
- Facebook.
- Instagram.
- Websites.

Population
- X - 449 avatars, 56,153 tweets.
- Facebook - 148 avatars, 1,141 posts and comments.
- Main Digital Assets - 3 X accounts, 3 Facebook pages, 2 Instagram accounts and 2 websites. Total number of main accounts and websites - 10.
Network Characteristics
Network characteristics

Chapter Abstract

1
Hundreds of Facebook and X accounts are promoting pro-Israel content in comments directed at American users, including lawmakers.

2
Identifying network traits: The network exhibits patterns such as the concurrent opening of accounts, recurrent use of specific names, profile photos (including stolen ones), cover photos, and usernames.

3
The network consists of four main groups: a core group that forms the base of the network, a group of profiles that pretend to be average Israeli individuals, a group of fake profiles dedicated solely to sharing and retweeting posts, and a group of profiles tasked with replying to posts.

4
This chapter focuses on analyzing the “core group”.
Network characteristics

Between November 2023 and February 2024, hundreds of fictitious profiles were identified on Facebook and X. These profiles were primarily promoting pro-Israeli content in the comments and replies to posts from American users, notably focusing on US lawmakers.

The content distributed by these profiles included political messaging against UNRWA, Hamas, and the “axis of evil”. Additionally, the content supported Israel, affirmed the historical rights of the Jewish people to the land of Israel, expressed solidarity with the Jewish nation, and promoted Western values.

Examples of the discourse by the fake profiles in the network:

A tweet responding to an African American member of Congress draws a connection between the Black community in the USA and Jews, while also advocating for the support of Israel.

A tweet that complaining about the lack of coverage of “Palestinian evil” in the media.

Tweets criticizing American universities amidst attacks on Jewish students were written in responses to politicians and influencers.

Tweets criticizing UNRWA, amidst reports alleging involvement of certain UNRWA employees in terrorist activities.

Lisa Akerman
@MartinezJar13386
The Jewish people stood by the black community and supported your fight for equality, now you need to support us against those who want to destroy us. #MyBrothersKeeper
6:01 PM · Nov 20, 2023 · 3 Views

Richardson Willie
@Richardson1426
Why is there no mention of the Palestinian evil in the media?
6:38 PM · Jan 21, 2024 · 3 Views

Tyler Foster
@FosterTyle70459
Ivy league campuses should be safe for everyone, including Jews. #MeTooUnlessUnrA Jew
2:58 PM · Dec 7, 2023 · 3 Views

Maya Carter
@Patterson30724
UNRWA’s support for terrorism cannot be ignored. #BabyKfir #BringThemHomeNow
1:09 PM · Dec 4, 2023 · 3 Views
Among the network’s characteristics are accounts that were all opened on the same date, featuring repetitive profile names, profile pictures (including stolen images), cover photos, aliases, and more. The network’s activity on X is divided into four groups:

- **Core Group**: Forms the base of the network.
- **"Israeli" Group**: Comprises fictitious profiles impersonating Israelis.
- **Retweeters**: Consists of fictitious profiles that occasionally retweet pro-Israeli content but primarily focus on promoting commercial content.
- **Responders**: Includes fictitious profiles that occasionally respond on behalf of the network but mostly concentrate on promoting commercial content.

The research is derived from tweets from 449 accounts identified as part of the network.
Examples of tweets on X

Responders: The profile responds to Non-agenda with a pro-Israeli message, and at the same time also responds to a commercial crypto account.

Retweeters: The profile retweets a tweet from one of the network's digital assets. But most of the time the profile shares commercial content. For example a Thai gambling game.

Core group: The profile publishes messages against UNRWA and the Palestinians with a link to one of the network's most prominent assets: Non-Agenda.
Among the fake profiles in the core group on X, 70% (225) were created in September 2023. Of these, 85% opened on the same day, September 25, 2023.

On that same day, an account for Unfold Magazine, one of the network's main digital assets, was also opened. The network uses this asset to disseminate messages from a seemingly credible source.

All the fake profiles created in January 2024 were established between the 22nd and the 23rd of the month.

This high concentration of accounts being opened on or around the same date aligns with the patterns identified in many foreign influence networks we have previously encountered. It further indicates the existence of an organized network in this instance.
The network was active on Facebook as well, but obtaining organized information and data about the creation dates of Facebook profiles can be challenging. Despite this challenge, we uncovered that many fake profiles from the core group changed their profile pictures and/or cover photos around the same time.

The simultaneous change of profile and/or cover photos within the same timeframe suggests that these actions were not initiated by authentic users.

Examples of profile pictures and cover photos that were changed on the same date: Profile pictures changed on November 21st, 2023, are shown on the right, while cover photos changed on January 23rd, 2024, are shown on the left.
Among the core group of the network on X, 18% of the identified names appeared multiple times under different usernames. For instance, the name Keisha Thompson was associated with the network on five occasions, each time under a different username, including RodriguezM12319, RogersDavi97452, and RossKennet40381.

The limited pool of names indicates the existence of an organized network, presumably operating with automated tools. The use of automation is a hallmark of foreign influence networks, enabling operators to rapidly create and manage numerous fake profiles efficiently.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Avatars with the Same Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Cohen</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathaniel Walsh</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keisha Thompson</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keisha Mitchell</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Bryant</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerry Bond</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logan Turner</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of fake profiles with the same name on X.

Example of a name that appeared multiple times with different usernames.
Network characteristics

Examples of names that appeared both on Facebook and X with profile photos of different people.

Top: examples from Facebook. Bottom: examples from X.
Network characteristics

Examples of profile pictures that were taken from other online sources.

From the Unsplash photo database.

Adams Kyle
@AdamsKyle324971

From the Alamy photo database.

Amanda Miller
@AllenAaron42729


From the Alamy photo database.

Hughes Thomas
@HughesThom10542

Man sitting on sofa holding mug looking sad
Captions are provided by our contributors.
Network characteristics

Examples of identical profile pictures that appeared on various fake profiles on X.
Network characteristics

Examples of identical profile pictures that appeared on various fake profiles on the internet, both on Facebook and X.

Top: Examples from Facebook. Bottom: Examples from X.
Network characteristics

Examples of identical cover photos that appeared on various fake profiles on X.
Network characteristics

Examples of fake profiles on X with the same bio

Nelson Christian
@NelsonChr933303
"Life is like a coin. You can spend it any way you wish, but you only spend it once." - Lillian Dickson

Morris Roger
@MorrisRoger97131
"Life is short, and it is up to you to make it sweet." - Sarah Louise Delany

Walker Daniel
@WalkerDanie86143
"Life is like a coin. You can spend it any way you wish, but you only spend it once." - Lillian Dickson

Benjamin Price
@BenjaminPrice89497
"Life is short, and it is up to you to make it sweet." - Sarah Louise Delany

Frank Wood
@FrankWood90320
"Life is really simple, but we insist on making it complicated." - Confucius

Maya Carter
@MayaCarter92004
"Life is really simple, but we insist on making it complicated." - Confucius

Smith Eric
@SmithEric14215
"Life is really simple, but we insist on making it complicated." - Confucius
Network characteristics

Examples of fake profiles displaying a mismatch between the displayed name and the username

Emily Johnson
@amanda_jac1040

Emily Thompson
@AlexanderB23291

Noah Harrington
@JohnnyLeet151024

Elizabeth Hall
@ChristineP37625

Samuel Derson
@Anderso33567426

Austin Parker
@curtis35112

Miles Anderson
@curtis77246

Rachel Cohen
@DavisRyan119873

David Cohen
facebook.com/jose.manual.92775

95 friends

Emma Smith
facebook.com/francisco.centeno.73157

112 friends

Thompson Malik
facebook.com/bobby.mcbob.33/

83 friends
Network characteristics

In several cases, usernames followed a specific sequence or pattern, further raising the suspicion that the network employs automation tools to create profiles.

Emily Thompson
@AlexanderB23291

Alexandra Thane
@AlexanderB42546

Alexandra Justin
@AlexanderJ78427

Alexandra Evans
@AlexanderT352

Rima Jones
@AlexanderT6157

Brooklyn Wallace
@bell_saman14241

Addison Parker
@bell_saman15908

Samantha Bell
@bell_saman66277

Scarlett Mitchell
@bell_saman72544

Paisley Hawkins
@bell_saman92414

Declan Harrison
@ROdonnell3136

Easton Thornton
@ROdonnell4051

Ricky Odonnell
@ROdonnell44817

Samuel Bennett
@ROdonnell57654

William Thompson
@ROdonnell68472

Pattern: Alexander + capital letter + numbers

Pattern: bell_saman + numbers

Pattern: ROdonnell + numbers
Network characteristics

In some tweets, the fake profiles identify with a gender and/or ethnicity that contradicts their profile pictures.

Campbell Anthony
@CampbellA92178

"As a middle-aged African American woman, I find solace in my Christian faith, seeking wisdom and strength through prayer and scripture. #Christianity #FaithJourney"

12:21 PM - Nov 18, 2023 - 15 Views

Aaliyah Martinez Paul
@MartinezPa45247

"As an African American middle-aged male, I find solace and strength in my Christian faith, guiding me through life's challenges. #Christianity #Faith #AfricanAmericanSpirituality"

11:59 AM - Nov 18, 2023 - 12 Views

Butler Juan
@ButlerJuan76763

"As a middle-aged white American man, I find solace and strength in my Christian faith, guiding me through life's challenges. #Christianity #FaithJourney"

12:40 PM - Nov 18, 2023 - 17 Views

Jessie Moore
@MooreT4431

As a middle-aged white American male, I find comfort and strength in my Christian faith, guiding me through life's challenges and spreading love and compassion. #Christianity #Faith

12:39 PM - Nov 18, 2023 - 4 Views
Network characteristics

Examples where individuals criticised Hamas using genders and ethnicities that did not match their profile pictures.

Natalie Ward Stevenson
@WardStevens5604

As an American Jewish middle-aged male, I stand against human rights violations, but it is important to note that my stance does not equate to support for Hamas. #HumanRights #JusticeForAll;

5:45 PM · Dec 24, 2023 · 8 Views

Paula Flores
@FloresPaul87905

As a middle-aged white American male, I strongly condemn any human rights violations, but it's important to differentiate between supporting human rights and supporting Hamas;

5:40 PM · Dec 24, 2023 · 5 Views

Davis Tyler
@DTyler73090

As a middle-aged American Jewish male, I stand against human rights violations, but I cannot support Hamas; let's find peaceful solutions for justice.

5:40 PM · Dec 24, 2023 · 20 Views
Network characteristics

Analysis of the profile pictures of the network on X

The category 'Unknown' encompasses fake profiles lacking a human profile picture or instances where the profile picture was unavailable at the time of our analysis.
The most common fake profiles were those of white men, followed by those of white women.

On the other hand, among the fake profiles that presented themselves as black people, the gender distribution of the profiles is almost equal between men and women.

Distribution of gender and ethnic affiliation of the fake profiles in X according to the profile picture. N = 338.
The category ‘Unknown’ encompasses fake profiles lacking a human profile picture or instances where the profile picture was unavailable at the time that we conducted our analysis.
Main Digital Assets
Main Digital Assets

1. The fake profiles promote and respond with links to three digital assets resembling news sites or organizations: Non-Agenda, The Moral Alliance, and Unfold Magazine. These assets serve to substantiate and validate the numerous assertions made by the network.

2. Accounts on X, Facebook, and Instagram, as well as personal websites, were created for all the digital assets.

3. Each digital asset focuses on slightly different topics but all promote pro-Israeli interests and messages, including content against UNRWA and Hamas.

4. Several characteristics indicate a connection between these properties, including IP addresses, opening dates, activity, and identical content.
The fake online profiles promote and respond with links to three digital assets, resembling news sites or organizations: Non-Agenda, The Moral Alliance, and Unfold Magazine.

The opening date of Unfold Magazine’s X account matches the opening date of most fake profiles in the network. The remaining digital assets were created on earlier dates, yet their first recorded activity began in the period following October 7th. In most cases, the activity of the various accounts started around the same date.

Regarding content, each digital asset concentrates on a slightly different topic, but all promote pro-Israeli interests and messages.

---

**Main Digital Assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Non-Agenda</th>
<th>The Moral Alliance</th>
<th>Unfold Magazine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Creation Date</td>
<td>14/5/2012</td>
<td>3/9/2012</td>
<td>25/9/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First available tweet</td>
<td>24/12/2023</td>
<td>14/1/2024</td>
<td>15/1/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Followers</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>2,636</td>
<td>7,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Following</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tweets</td>
<td>1,691</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>Creation Date</td>
<td>30/11/23</td>
<td>21/12/2023</td>
<td>10/1/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Followers</td>
<td>6,300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posts</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram</td>
<td>Creation Date</td>
<td>10/2023</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First available post</td>
<td>11/1/2024</td>
<td>14/1/2024</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Followers</td>
<td>11,974</td>
<td>2,777</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Following</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posts</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Follower</td>
<td>31,274</td>
<td>5,356</td>
<td>7,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Following</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posts</td>
<td>1,726</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of the three primary digital assets that we identified. The data presented is accurate as of March 6th 2024.
Comparing the content of the three digital assets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Digital Assets</th>
<th>Non-Agenda</th>
<th>The Moral Alliance</th>
<th>Unfold Magazine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bio</strong></td>
<td>Non-conforming, Non-binary, Non-partisan: Non-Agenda.</td>
<td>Non-Partisan organization, celebrating Western morals, traditions, and liberal way of life.</td>
<td>Your premier source for news and stories from Israel and the vibrant tapestry of the Jewish world.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Topics Covered</strong></td>
<td>International issues from a liberal angle</td>
<td>What Jews and Israel have in common with the West and Christianity; morality and a liberal worldview.</td>
<td>News and stories from Israel and the Jewish world.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Pro-Israel and Pro-Jewish Content** | • "The Axis of Evil": Iran, Russia and China  
• Solidarity of the leaders of the Black community with Israel and the Jews  
• Connection between the Land of Israel and the Jews  
• Involvement of UNRWA employees in the massacre on October 7th. | • Iran and Russia are trying to destabilize the world  
• American Muslim support for Hamas  
• Returning the Hostages  
• Involvement of UNRWA employees in the massacre on October 7th. | • Solidarity of the leaders of the black community with Israel and the Jews  
• The war crimes committed by Hamas  
• The fight against antisemitism  
• Involvement of UNRWA employees in the massacre on October 7th. |
Main Digital Assets - Non Agenda

The activities of the digital asset includes:
- X account
- Facebook page
- Instagram account
- Website

Key Characteristics:
- All of the asset’s posts on the various platforms link back to articles on Non-Agenda’s website.
- Most of the content is copied from international news sites, and only rarely is original content uploaded.
- The content broadly addresses international issues from a liberal perspective, occasionally sharing information related to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, Hamas, and Iran.
- On X, they share articles on a variety of global topics, whereas on Facebook and Instagram, they exclusively share articles about Israel and the dangers of the Arab world.
First post on Facebook: “Our mission is to empower you with knowledge…”

First post on Instagram: The connection between the massacre on October 7th and the “axis of evil”.

First tweet on X: A thread with the goal of demonstrating the bond between the Jews and Israel.
On Facebook and Instagram they are focused on Israel, UNRWA, and “axis of evil”.

On X they posted a wide array of topics and news from the world.
The activities of the digital asset includes:

- X account
- Facebook page
- Instagram account

Key Characteristics:

- The asset does not have a website; therefore, its posts and tweets link to news websites or do not include links at all.
- The content is almost identical across all platforms.
- The content emphasizes the commonality between Israel and the West, referring to morality and the liberal worldview.
- Occasionally, there are posts related to UNRWA and the conflict between Israel and Hamas.
- Some posts include religious-Christian content that highlights the commonality between Judaism and Christianity and quotes from the New Testament.
Main Digital Assets - The Moral Alliance

The first posts published on each of the platforms

First post on Instagram

First post on Facebook

First post on X
Examples of content on different platforms

Post about the involvement of UNRWA employees in the massacre

A quote from the New Testament about universal values.

Posts by Non-Agenda shared on X
The activities of the digital asset includes:

- X account
- Facebook page
- Web site

Key Characteristics:

- All of their posts on social media link back to articles on their website.
- All posts that appear on Facebook also appear on X (but not vice versa).
- Thus far, the website has uploaded only two "original" articles. The rest of the articles on their website are copied from other sources.
- The asset presents itself as a source of news and stories from Israel and the Jewish world, which indeed reflects the focus of their content.
- A significant portion of additional content addresses the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians, Hamas, and the war in Gaza.
Main Digital Assets - UnFold

The first post published on each of the platforms

First post on Facebook: “Our mission is to curate and deliver content about Israel and the Jewish diaspora.”

First tweet on X: “We are your source for news and stories from the Jewish world and Israel.”

FAKEREPORTE
Examples of posts on the different platforms

**Tweet about UNRWA**

Tweet about UNRWA

**Facebook post discussing the connection between the struggle of Black people in the USA and Jews who joined them in their fight.**

Facebook post discussing the connection between the struggle of Black people in the USA and Jews who joined them in their fight.

**A variety of tweets about the Jewish world, anti-Semitism, and the fight against Hamas.**

A variety of tweets about the Jewish world, anti-Semitism, and the fight against Hamas.
A number of factors indicate a connection between the assets:

- The first tweets from The Moral Alliance and Unfold Magazine were uploaded on consecutive days (January 14 and January 15, respectively).
- The first Instagram posts from Non-Agenda and The Moral Alliance were posted just a few days apart (January 11 and January 14, respectively).
- Checking the history of the IP address for the Non-Agenda and Unfold websites shows that in the past, the websites shared the same address and are actually operated by the same party (screenshot on the left).
Google Tag is the same for the websites of Non-Agenda and Unfold. This indicates a connection between the operators.

Top: The source code of Unfold Magazine’s website.
Botto,: The source code of the Non-Agenda’s website.
Highlighted is the Google-Tag shared by both websites: G-S9D1TXDRKM.
On January 30, 2024, the X accounts of all the digital assets shared the same screenshot from a Wall Street Journal article about UNRWA’s connection to the attack on October 7th. Identical posts were also published on the same date on their Facebook and Instagram accounts.
Foreign Influence Network

Network's Content
Network’s content

1. The fake profiles disseminate repetitive texts about American universities and their hostility toward Jewish students. The accounts also dealt with Hamas’s crimes on October 7, with an emphasis on sexual assaults.

2. Other common texts disseminated by the network discussed UNRWA and its workers’ connections to October 7, calling for the organization to be shut down.

3. The campaign largely targets American politicians, with a particular focus on African-American Democratic lawmakers.
Network’s content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@Upenn/@MIT/@Harvard is not safe for Jews #MeTooUnlessUrAJew</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Standing against hate: Harvard and Upenn should protect all students!” is not safe for Jews is not safe for Jews</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamas’s crimes against humanity must be condemned. Rape is rape, regardless of the victims’ ethnicity. Stand for justice. #BelieveTheVictims #NoMoreSilence #ceasefirenow</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivy League schools must address the alarming rise of anti-Semitism! Protecting Jewish students is non-negotiable. It’s crucial for these prestigious institutions to take a stand against the unacceptable call for the genocide of Jews.</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Civil Rights Movement saw a lot of strength from a lot of different groups. Tell everyone about it.</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you seen this? <a href="https://t.co/FLbuMGjC">https://t.co/FLbuMGjC</a>; QT@Richardson35927: Between 1948 and 1967, the West Bank was annexed by Jordan, and the Gaza Strip was administered by Egypt. During this period, there was no independent Palestinian state.</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice to Israeli women #MeTooUnlessUrAJew</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the international community making any statements or taking action regarding these serious allegations against UNRWA staff?</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamas are war criminals #MeTooUnlessUrAJew</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Ivy League leaders won’t admit that advocating for the murder of Jews constitutes “harassment”! Almost three months have passed since October 7th, yet Harvard still won’t refer to it as genocide.</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you speak-up about the Ivy league statement if it were about enslaving black people? #MeTooUnlessUrAJew</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People from different groups were very strong during the Civil Rights Movement. Spread the word and make sure everyone knows.</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamas are rapists #MeTooUnlessUrAJew</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Breaking down myths: Common Misconceptions about Judaism. Let’s explore some misconceptions and shed light on the rich tapestry of Jewish culture &gt; <a href="https://t.co/pRHYVh44Q1">https://t.co/pRHYVh44Q1</a></td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amount of times each text was posted by the fake profiles online.

The network shared a number of identical texts across its accounts.

The most frequently shared texts addressed the policies of US universities towards Israel and Jewish students, highlighting concerns about campus safety for Jewish students ("Upenn/MIT/Harvard is not safe for Jews,” “Harvard and Upen should protect all students,” etc.).

Other repetitive texts focused on condemning Hamas’s actions, specifically emphasizing the sexual assaults committed by them ("Rape is rape, regardless of the victims’ ethnicity,” “Hamas are war criminals,” etc.).
The most common words in the tweets of the fake profiles are, in descending order: UNRWA, terror, staff (in relation to UNRWA), and Hamas.

A word cloud based on tweets from the network’s core group.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Twitter Handle</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Betty Roberts</td>
<td>@BettyRober67086</td>
<td>Hamas's crimes against humanity must be condemned. Rape is rape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@Upenn is not safe for Jews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:39 PM · Dec 10, 2023 · 3 Views</td>
<td></td>
<td>@Harvard is not safe for Jews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Roberts</td>
<td>@BellR45246</td>
<td>The Universities are “not safe for Jews”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@MIT is not safe for Jews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 PM · Dec 14, 2023 · 5 Views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Patterson Bruce</td>
<td>@bruce_patt90022</td>
<td>“Hamas’s crimes against humanity must be condemned. Rape is rape.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@Harvard is not safe for Jews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Network’s content**

- Brooks Gerald
  - @BrooksGera68289
  - "Standing against hate: Harvard and Upenn should protect all students!" @Harvard is not safe for Jews @Upenn is not safe for Jews
  - 3:43 AM · Dec 13, 2023 · 4 Views
- Zachary Garcia
  - @GarciaZach83424
  - "Standing against hate: Harvard and Upenn should protect all students!" @Harvard is not safe for Jews @Upenn is not safe for Jews
  - 1:30 AM · Dec 13, 2023 · 13 Views
- Ava Hall
  - @HallZachar74466
  - Hamas' crimes against humanity must be condemned. Rape is rape, regardless of the victims' religion. Stand against terror and believe the Jewish victims. #ceasefirenow
  - 2:16 AM · Dec 18, 2023 · 18 Views
- Rachel Cohen
  - @JenkinsZac43615
  - Hamas’s crimes against humanity must be condemned. Rape is rape, regardless of the victims' ethnicity. Stand for justice. #BelieveTheVictims #NoMoreSilence #ceasefirenow
  - 2:34 AM · Dec 18, 2023 · 11 Views
The fake profiles disseminated several generic texts with minor modifications against UNRWA.

The text distributed most frequently was: "Disturbingly, recent reports show 10% of UNRWA staff have ties to terror groups, some linked to the October 7th attack."

Some other versions of this post begin with the words, "Disturbing reports," "Shockingly, recent reports confirm," and "Disturbing reports claim."
Identical tweets about UNRWA’s involvement in the attack on October 7th: “Disturbingly, recent reports show 10% of UNRWA staff have ties to terror groups, some linked to the October 7th attack. This alarming issue in Gaza needs immediate attention. Don’t stay silent, let’s talk about it.”
Identical responses about UNRWA’s involvement in the attack on October 7th: “Disturbing reports suggest 10% of UNRWA staff may have terror links, even tied to the Oct 7 attack. Let’s address this concern, not spread misinformation.”
Disturbing reports claim 10% of UNRWA staff linked to terror groups in Gaza. Time to address this serious issue. What do you think?

"Disturbing news from the Middle East! Shockingly, recent reports confirm 10% of UNRWA staff have terror ties, implicated in the Oct 7 attack. This urgent issue needs attention. Spread awareness."
Throughout the duration of our research, the various fake profiles tweeted against UNRWA on several different dates.

The peak of the discourse against the organization occurred at the end of January 2024, following revelations that UNRWA employees participated in the massacre. However, several tweets against UNRWA were also found during the months of November and December.
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Tweets against UNRWA written by the fake profiles on X

January 2024—in response to Congresswoman Sydney Kamlager-D

December 2023—in response to President Biden.

November 2023—in response to a pro-Israeli user on X.
In the entire network, including the groups of Retweeters and Commenters, 93.7K account tags were identified, with some even repeatedly tagging within the network.

To date, 56% of all tagged accounts have been checked.

41% of the checked accounts are commercial accounts (removed from the graph on the left).

The most frequently mentioned accounts were American politicians and accounts identified as pro-Israel.

16% of the mentioned accounts were digital assets from the network, such as Non-Agenda, and 15% were fake profiles from the network. 

N=31,166
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Numbers of times mentioned</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digital Asset</td>
<td>2,668</td>
<td>Non-Agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>1,388</td>
<td>Harvard University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Asset</td>
<td>1,305</td>
<td>The Moral Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University (wrong profile)</td>
<td>1,228</td>
<td>Upeen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>Richardson Willie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Asset</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>Unfold Magazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>Sanders Gregory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>Roberts Jacob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>Richardson Kevin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>Richardson Kevin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President of the USA</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>President Biden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization (fictitious)</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>Upenn is not safe for Jews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>UNRWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>Morgan Vincent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake Profile</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>Hughes Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Conservative</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>Marina Medvin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro-Palestinian</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>Visegrád 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Israel Political Comentator</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>Jackson Hinkle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasbara</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>Dr. Eli David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 accounts mentioned most by network profiles on X.

Yellow: the network’s digital assets.
Green: the network’s fake profiles.
Democratic politicians were targeted on X in the highest volumes. 85% of all politicians targeted are Democratic members of Congress and the Senate. Of all Democrats tagged, 90% are African-American lawmakers. Among the Republicans who were tagged, the situation is reversed: 90% of them are white.
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**Most mentioned politicians by fake profiles on X.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Democrat, African-American</td>
<td>Senator Reverend Raphael Warnock</td>
<td>Senator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Democrat, White</td>
<td>Rep. Robin Kelly</td>
<td>Congresswoman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Democrat, White</td>
<td>Senator Richard Blumenthal</td>
<td>Senator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Democrat, African-American</td>
<td>Rep. Maxwell Alejandro Frost</td>
<td>Congressman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Republican, White</td>
<td>Speaker Mike Johnson</td>
<td>Speaker of the House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Democrat, African-American</td>
<td>Rep. Terri A. Sewell</td>
<td>Congresswoman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Different responses written to American politicians on X

Response to Democrat Congressman Ritchie Torres - “Hamas is perpetuating the conflict”.

Response to Democrat Congressman Hank Johnson - “Hamas is using baby Kfir as a tool for their own agenda, it’s sickening”.

Response to Democrat Congressman Joe Neguse - “The value of every human should be upheld and protected”.
Response to Congressman David Scott

Response to Congressman Jonathan L. Jackson

Response to Congresswoman Gwen Moore
Thus far, we've uncovered 143 fake profiles from the network on Facebook, which collectively generated 1,144 responses.

Obtaining data from Facebook is challenging due to technical difficulties, and it is estimated that there are significantly more profiles and responses from the same network.

Some repetitive texts from the fake profiles that stood out include “Have you seen this?” and “Why didn’t I hear about this?”. These texts illustrate how the network attempts to draw attention to the links it publishes.

The fake profiles link to posts from the network’s digital assets, such as Non-Agenda, which, among other topics, deal with the involvement of UNRWA employees in the massacre on October 7th.
The majority of the responses from the fake profiles were written in response to posts by 45 American politicians, most of whom are African Americans affiliated with the Democratic Party.

The remaining posts that the fake profiles commented on are from pro-Palestinian and pro-Israeli accounts, with the content commented on varying. The fake profiles also commented on posts by international news agencies.
Examples of responses written by the fake profiles to American politicians: In all the examples, the link leads to an article about UNRWA’s involvement in the massacre on October 7th.

Response to Congresswoman Nikema Williams, with a link to Unfold Magazine

Response to Congresswoman Frederica Wilson, with a link to Unfold Magazine

Response to Congresswoman Barbara Lee, with a link to Non-Agenda.